

# International Perspectives on Head of Bed Position for Intracerebral Hemorrhage in the Post-HeadPoST Era

Wendy Dusenbury DNP, RN, FNP-BC, AGACNP-BC, ANVP-BC

Mark Malkoff, MD Peter Schellinger, MD, PhD Martin Kohrmann, MD

Adam Arthur MD, MPH Lucas Elijovich, MD Andrei V Alexandrov, MD

Anne W Alexandrov, PhD, AGACNP-BC, ANVP-BC, FAAN

---

HeadPoST a negative multi-site clinical trial that examined 3 month outcomes in stroke patients positioned either with their head up (30-degrees or higher) or down (0-degrees)

HeadPoST enrolled 932 ICH patients and concluded that HOB positioning provided no difference in 3 month outcomes

---

Prior to HeadPoST, an international survey of 128 clinicians showed equipoise for best head of bed (HOB) position in acute stroke

---

We aimed to determine whether HOB equipoise remains for ICH following HeadPoST

## Background

## Methods

- A 5-question international survey was constructed to examine interdisciplinary clinicians' beliefs/practices associated with ICH HOB position (Likert scale: 1=strongly agree, 2=agree, 3=unsure, 4=disagree, 5=strongly disagree).
- Surveys were distributed by email and on stroke-specific listservs targeting physicians, advanced practice providers (APPs), and nurses worldwide.

# Results

181 responses

13 countries/4 continents (79%  
North America; 11% Europe; 7%  
Australia; 3% Asia).



# Results

- Respondent characteristics:
  - 37% nurses
  - 33% APPs
  - 30% physicians
  - overall 8.6+8(median 7) years stroke experience
  - median 100 (IQR 37.5-200) ICH admissions/year.

## Results

- Respondents disagreed (4; IQR:3-4) that HeadPoST provided definitive evidence for ICH HOB practices
- Respondents agreed (2; IQR:2-3) with the statement "medical orders at my hospital call for ICH HOB 30-degrees"
  - no differences by nurse/physician profession
- Policy/procedures for ICH HOB 30-degrees existed at 54% of hospitals

## Results

- Respondents were unsure (3; IQR:2-3) whether 30-degree HOB alone could influence ICH 6-month outcome
- Regarding endpoints
  - 82% believed proximal clinical stability was the most appropriate future trial endpoint
  - 14% believed longitudinal measures were suitable
  - 4% felt both proximal/longitudinal measures were important endpoints

# Conclusions

- Experienced interdisciplinary clinicians remain unconvinced that HOB positioning is irrelevant in ICH patients.
- Future trials should focus on proximal clinical stability using serial neurological assessments as the way to ascertain the impact of HOB positioning